

Message

From: Davis, Eva [Davis.Eva@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/7/2016 2:19:19 PM
To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]
CC: Wayne Miller [Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov]
Subject: RE: arsenic injection
Attachments: addendum 2 comments b.docx

Here's a draft of what I have – hope to get it out today, hoping to hear something back from Wayne about arsenic injection

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 3:06 PM
To: Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>; Wayne Miller <Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov>
Subject: RE: arsenic injection

.... And I understand AZ already has natural background As in groundwater issue in many places, Wayne do you know what background As is at Williams?

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 1:03 PM
To: Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: arsenic injection

This comment needs to be made. Another potential factor in the J&S comment I made to amec on the last BCT call, if done without agency approval. Incidentally, my attorney advised me that if need be, I can go to my DD and get a stop work order issued for the EBR portion if we think there is a problem.

Carolyn

From: Davis, Eva
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 12:45 PM
To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Wayne Miller <Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov>
Subject: arsenic injection

Got a question for you two –

Amec's plan is to inject 320 gm/l of sodium sulfate, that has 3 mg/kg arsenic. By my calculations that is 0.96 mg/l of arsenic going into the ground, while the drinking water standard is 0.01 mg/l. How can they be allowed to do that?